

PSNGP: A Development Review and Early Implementation

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY State of Washington

Eleanor Ott, P.E. Water Quality Program - SWRO

ACWA Nutrients Workshop May 1, 2023





The nutrient problem

Ecology's strategy to address nutrient pollution

Puget Sound Nutrient General Permit

Q&A

The Nutrient Problem





The nutrient problem in Puget Sound

Unhealthy Puget Sound

Low dissolved oxygen

Acidified water

Low biodiversity

Non-nutritious food web

Nuisance algae

Less healthy food for salmon and orcas



Healthy Puget Sound

Natural dissolved oxygen levels

High Biodiversity

Nutrient balance

Nutritious food web

Healthy nearshore habitats

Thriving fisheries

Numeric Criteria for DO: WAC 173-201A



Areas of Concern 2014 -Dissolved Oxygen

Water Quality Impairments 2014 -Dissolved Oxygen

┢ 303 (d) listings 👔



Our strategy to reduce nutrient pollution

- Prioritize where we can make the largest, fastest, and most efficient reductions
- Identify other areas where we need answers and evaluate with the model
- Define the levels of reductions needed to achieve compliance with water quality standards



Puget Sound Nutrient General Permit







Documenting Reasonable Potential



Nitrogen coming from wastewater treatment plants to Puget Sound

WWTPs serving the most populated areas are generally the biggest source of excess nitrogen.



Data source: WWTPs' 2019 discharge monitoring reports

Why a General Permit

- Single, coordinated public engagement process
- Shared foundation for WWTP communities to work together to achieve nutrient reduction
- Opportunity to collect consistent WWTP data
- Common 5-year cycle for public process and reissuance

General Permit Development Process



Advisory Committee Input



PSNGP AC Summary

Agreements

- All plants must optimize
- Consistent data collection
- Conduct a regional nutrient reduction study

Disagreements

- Setting a target load to trigger additional actions
- How to accommodate growth
- Early planning using "bookends"



Comments from the draft permit (June 2021)

- Over 2,100 individual comments on the draft permit
- Mixed support for the general permit as proposed
- Concern for our pace both too fast and too slow
- Trying to do too much vs. not going far enough
- Focus only on the dominant plants vs. reductions for all
 - Need for a 3rd plant category
- Concerns over the science



The Puget Sound Nutrient General Permit

- Issued December 1, 2021 and became effective January 1, 2022
- Direct discharges from WWTPs to the Puget Sound
- Splits dischargers into 3 categories
 - Small
 - Moderate
 - Dominant
- Permit has been Appealed



PSNGP Coverage Locations





Optimize current treatment processes to enhance nutrient reductions

Puget Sound Nutrient General Permit: Requirements for the first five years



Monitor raw wastewater and the plant's discharge to evaluate nutrient reductions and optimization progress



Planning begins or continues for future plant upgrades to control nutrients



Grant funding from Ecology will be available for optimization and planning.

Narrative WQ Based Effluent Limits

40 CFR 122.44(k)

| | DOMINANT LOADERS (7) | MODERATE LOADERS (20) | SMALL LOADERS (31) |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| MONITORING | Monitor Nitrogen 2/week | Monitor Nitrogen 1/week | Monitor Nitrogen 2/month |
| OPTIMIZATION | Annual Report to document adaptive management. + Action Level (Ibs/year) | Annual Report to document adaptive management + Action Level (Ibs/year) | Single report (year 4) documenting adaptive management |
| PLANNING | AKART Analysis 10 mg/L TIN, annually 3 mg/L TIN, seasonally | AKART Analysis 10 mg/L TIN, annually 3 mg/L TIN, seasonally | AKART Analysis 10 mg/L TIN, annually No increase in loading |

Environmental Justice Requirements

Demographic Analysis

Income Assessment

Affordability Assessment

Consideration of Alternative Rate Structures

Improvements for Communities Identified in the Demographic Analysis

There are options for WWTPs to control nutrients

The permit encourages communities to look at proven approaches and innovative opportunities to reduce nutrient loading and improve operating efficiency:

- Side stream treatment
- Water conservation
- Reduced inflow and infiltration
- Reclaimed water production
- Pre-treatment and decentralization
- Septage handling practices



Permit Appeals

- Appeals received from both sides
 - Group of dischargers (8)
 - Tribe + WA Conservation Action
- Agreed to a stipulated stay
- Concurrent APA Appeal in Superior Court



Permit Implementation - Year 1

- Partnered with Association of WA Cities
 - Assist with annual report (M)
 - Assist in review/selection of optimization strategies (S)
- No reported action level exceedances
- 3 WWTPs achieved < 10mg/L TIN
- ~\$8.3m dollars provided to support permit implementation
- Most plants were able to identify an optimization strategy

Puget Sound Nutrient General Permit Cycles









Contact information: Eleanor Ott, P.E. <u>eleanor.ott@ecy.wa.gov</u>

