# Local Limits Submittal Packages

How Approval Authorities confirm Local Limits are Technically Based Dave Knight P.E., May 2021 ACWA-States-EPA National Conference

#### **Local Limits Submissions:**

**Review of rules related to Local Limits** 

**Review of available Local Limits Guidance** 

**Coordinating POTW Plans to Revisit Local Limits** 

Keys to Reviewing Local Limits Submittals

Completing / Revisiting the action

# **Reasons POTW Programs Develop and May Need To Update Local Limits:**

- Protect against Pass Through or Interference, and beneficial biosolids uses (original basis)
  - Especially for more than the initial priority pollutant metals e.g. 'non-conservative' pollutants.
- Help Manage Compatible Pollutant Capacity: – BOD, TSS, Ammonia, Phosphorus (potentially)
- Protect against violating <u>WET</u> limits
- Assure effluent suitable for <u>reclamation</u>
- Allocate loadings for <u>BMPs used ILO limits</u>
- Protection against vapor toxicity/explosivity
- Limits set for pollutants of emerging concern

Why Approval Authorities Care about Approving Local Limits
If the POTW is non-delegated, the AA may be writing an IU permit (or authorization) and need to defend limits in it.

 If limits are not properly developed (e.g. with notice to affected parties), they may not be defensible.

 For both Delegated POTWs and POTW in the process of delegation, AA's are to provide technical & legal assistance & want programs to succeed in protecting POTWs.

### What's Driving Local Limits Updates:

5. AA identifies POTW Problems due to pollutants needing Limits 1. Local Limits for Programs in Development (Part of Program Delegaton)

Cases 1-5 Requiring Local Limits Review

4. New or Changed Local Limits for Non-Delegated POTW (NPDES Permit) 2. Less Stringent Limits for Delegated Programs (Substantial program mods)

3. New or More Stringent Limits for Delegated Programs (Minor program mods)

#### **1. LL Rules for New POTW Programs**

- **403.5(c)(1)** POTWs developing a program must develop and enforce limits to implement the general and specific prohibitions (403.5(a)(1) and 403.5(b)) AND continue to develop these limits as necessary AND effectively enforce such limits.
- **403.8(f)(4)** POTWs developing programs must develop local limits as required by 403.5(c)(1) or <u>demonstrate</u> they are not necessary (proving a negative is difficult).
- 403.5(c)(3) Limits shall not be developed and enforced without individual notice to persons or groups who have requested it. (Municipalities may have other notice requirements stemming from Federal Administrative Procedures Act requirements for public involvement). NOTE: 40 CFR 403 5(a)(2) provides affirmative defense Users where a local limit was not developed IAW 403.5(c).

# **1. (cont)** – LL Technical Basis Implied In Program Submittals

- 403.9 = contents of POTW Program Submission
- 403.11 = procedures for approving POTW Programs
- 403.9(b)(1) Legal Sufficiency Statement: POTW can carry out the programs described in §403.8 must:
  - (i) Identify what provides the required **legal authorities** under §403.8(f)(1) for each procedure under §403.8(f)(2); and
  - (ii) Identify how the POTW will carry out requirements of §403.8 (incl: 403.8(f)(4)), and how it applies Pretreatment Standards to Us....(cont)
  - Rules require POTWs to explain how they meet 403.8(f)(4), but don't specifically require POTWs to include a 'Technical Basis' for Local Limits

#### 2/3 Delegated Programs – Review during Permit Term & Annual Report

- 403.5(c)(1) POTWs must develop and enforce Local Limits and continue to develop these limits as necessary
- 122.44(j)(2) POTW permits require a "..written technical evaluation of the need to revise Local Limits under 403.5(c)(1).." (For delegated programs usually annual pretreatment reports satisfy this requirement)
- 403.12(i)(1). Updated IU surveys (& LL Applicability).
- 403.12(i)(4) New Program Mods (e.g. local limits)

403.12(i)(5) Any other information requested by the AA:

- Headworks Loadings Relative to MAHL's
- MAIL, pounds allocated, & received
- Pollutant loading trends (& Graphs of trends),
- Future POC's being evaluated

#### 2. Less Stringent = Substantial Program Mods

- 403.18(b) Substantial Modifications includes relaxing local limits. Submit: 1) Statement of basis, 2) Modified program ref: 403.9(b), 3) Documents AA finds are needed.
- Review Standard: Program must satisfy 403.8(f) --(f)(4)=LL's
- 403.18(c)(2) AA follows process of 403.11(b)-(f) except may require POTW to provide notices.
  - 403.11(b): AA reviews submission per 403.9(b), Determines if it meets requirements; IF NOT: Returns with comments;
  - IF SO: within 20 work days issues a **30-day public notice** to affected persons and interested agencies, with opportunity for public hearing.
  - 403.11(c): AA Approves or denies (with response) proposed mods.
  - 403.11(d): EPA may object to POTW mods, constitutes 'final ruling'
  - 403.11(e): AA provides notice & public notice of decision.
  - 403.11(f): AA must ensure program and comments publicly available (ALSO: Second notice not required if no comments, & notice specifies)

# **3. New or More Stringent Local** Limits = Minor Program Mod

- 403.18(d) Minor mods ALSO includes reallocating MAIL's and pH limits changes. POTWs submit to AA a statement of basis, modified program, and supporting documentation per the AA.
- If the AA does not object, the modifications are approved after 45 days.
- 403.18(e) All modifications are to be incorporated into the POTW's NPDES permit per 122.63(g).
- \*\* NEW or More Stringent POTW Limits Must Also Satisfy Individual Notice Requirements of 403.5(c)(3) \*\*
  - \*\*\* POTWS Must Identify whether any proposed modifications are substantial or minor \*\*\*

#### 4. Rules Driving Non-Delegated POTWs to Develop Local Limits

- 403.5(c)(2): 'All other POTWs shall...' develop & enforce limits to address 'Pass Through' & 'Interference' & ensure renewed and continued compliance with their permit.
- 403.5(c)(4): POTWs may use BMP's ILO numerical limits (streamlining provision)
- 122.44(j)(2): POTW permits require a "..written technical evaluation of the need to revise Local Limits under 403.5(c)(1)." Not satisfied by annual pretreatment reports as for Del. Prog.
  122.21(j)(6)(ii)(E) POTW's NPDES Permit App must identify SIUs & if subject to local limits

#### 5. Local Limits Related Rules for State (AA) Programs

- States must develop pretreatment programs to be delegated.
- 403.10(f)(1) requires state programs to have <u>legal authority to require</u> <u>IU's to meet pretreatment standards (LL's are such standards)</u>.
- 403.10(f)(2) Procedures enabling the Director to:
- (i) Identify POTW's required to develop Pretreatment Programs... "or in the absence of a POTW Pretreatment Program <u>carry out the</u> <u>activities set forth in §403.8(f)(2)" (i.e. POTW Procedures)</u>

**NOTE:** While the requirement to issue individual permits is under 'authorities' not 'procedures', States must have the authority to (somehow) require IU's to meet pretreatment standards (+ Local Limits).

States Must Implement their approved program: Programs should describe non-delegated POTW participation. States may require (in NPDES permits or Orders) POTWs to develop local limits, codify ordinances, reinforce prohibitions, do surveys, do oversight monitoring, inspections, administer FOG programs, etc.

**122.44(j):** As Noted, States May include NPDES Permit Terms Requiring POTWs to evaluate/re-evaluate the need for local limits.

#### **EPA GUIDANCE** on Local Limits

- 2010 Publication: Control Authority
   Pretreatment Audit Checklist & Instructions
- 2004 Manual: Local Limits Development Guidance (& Appendixes under separate cover)
- 1983 Manual: Procedures Manual for Reviewing a POTW Pretreatment Program Submission.

### **Most Recently Updated Guidance:**

#### CONTROL AUTHORITY PRETREATMENT AUDIT CHECKLIST AND INSTRUCTIONS

833-B-10-001

February 2010



Office of Wastewater Management Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance

### **2010 Audit Checklist & Instructions**

- Most recent but least detail on the submittal package and the review and approval process for new/modified LL's.
- Checklist cites 403.8(f)(4) Auditor is to review:
  - How CA decided on the pollutants to limit (POC's).
  - The most stringent criteria for each local limit (Why?).
  - Allocation method used (e.g. uniform conc., contributing flows, targeted reduction, WYNIWYG, etc.)
  - Limit basis (instantaneous, daily max, other)
  - Applied to hauled waste & all jurisdictions (403.1(b)(1))
  - Ensured public involvement (403.5(c)(1) see also APA)
  - Basis and adequacy of local limits (??)
  - When last evaluated & last 'approved' by the AA.
  - If more than one POTW, are limits POTW specific?
  - Identified any new POC's since last approval?
  - Followed program modification process (403.18)

# The Most Thorough address of how to develop Local Limits is the below Manual (134pp & appendixes 128pp)

United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Wastewater Management 4203 EPA 833-R-04-002A July 2004

### EPA Local Limits Development Guidance

United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Wastewater Management 4203 EPA 833-R-04-002B July 2004

#### **€EPA**

#### Local Limits Development Guidance Appendices

### Local Limits Guidance Manual Ch.6

- (1) POTW develops or recalculates draft local limits.
- (2) Submits proposed (new and/or revised) local limits and 'supporting documentation' to the AA for review
- (3) Makes proposed limits available to public for comment
- (4) Provides individual notice to the affected parties.
- (5) AA Must address all public comments in its review.
- (6) AA notifies the POTW of the adequacy of its submission.
  - Not accepted AA provides comments, POTW -> Step 2
  - Accepted AA notifies the POTW accepts proposed limits
- (7) POTW (& contributing jurisdictions) adopt the new limits,
  - (8) Pretreatment program modification steps (403.18) followed including public notice, approved by AA, mod of NPDES permit. Ref: Page 6-17, 6-18

#### Local Limits Guidance Manual (cont.)

- Supporting Documentation Exhibit 6-3:
  - All data used to determine POC's & for calc's
  - Basis for choosing the POC's
  - Record of calculations and assumptions.
  - Printouts from spreadsheets or programs used.
  - Rationale for each proposed local limit including MAHL, (MAIL), allocation method, and calc's.
  - Reasons potential POC's were not limited.
- POTWs should track influent load vs MAHL

# **Remember 1983? – HOF QB Picks: Dan Marino - John Elway - Jim Kelley**



Photo courtesy thesportster.com

C/O 293 pp. 113pp in 8 Ch & App. A-M (App. K is sample attorney's statement)Q: What was the original due date for POTW programs to be submitted?

#### 833B83100

United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water Enforcement and Permits

833B83100

#### Guidance Manual for POTW Pretreatment Program Development

PROTECTION AGENCY

DALLAS, TEXAS

LIBRARY

### Section 4.6 – Info. To Submit on LL's:

- 1. Background info on POTW & service area
- 2. NPDES Permit Limits
- 3. Prior Interference caused by IU's including:
  - Lower removal efficiency
  - Degradation of collection system
  - Causing emergency conditions, plugs, odors
  - Permit violations believed caused by IU's
- 4. Sludge Disposal Practices & POC levels
- 5. Results of POTW sampling incl: domestic levels, influent, effluent, sludges, and fate of pollutants.
- Method used to develop limits for industries, and the resultant local limits. (NOTE: This doesn't provid a great deal of detail on this 'technical basis' analysis)

# 136 pp: 5 ch. & 3 app. Ch.3 Tech Info, App B is LL Dev. (39pp)

United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water Enforcement and Permits

October 1983

€EPA

#### Procedures Manual for Reviewing a POTW Pretreatment Program Submission

01A0004413

HIDUSTRY POTW LAND SPREADING HISTORICAL NOTE: Inside Appendix B – 'Developing Local Limits for Incompatible Pollutants' are original 'inhibition thresholds' for activated sludge processes, nitrification, and anaerobic sludge digestion.

- CHECKLIST IN CH. 3

Asks reviewer to determine if need for local limits correctly assessed based on the IWS, past problems, and sample results provided.

# **1983 Manual Checklist for Reviewing Local Limits app. Ch.3**

- Appendix B is the precursor to the 1987 LL manual, the 1989 supp. manual, and later the 2004 LL manual. Won't reflect changes from these & Streamlining
- Worksheet #2 Part II Local Limits checklist has 62 questions & comments fields – Related to review of LL Technical Basis, but as a checklist is cryptic.
  - POTW Documented: inhibition, upset, pass through, sludge contamination
  - Includes monitoring results for: Influent, internal processes, effluent, sludge, industrial effluent, & hauled wastes
  - Documented how POTW selected the POC's
  - Documented relevant factors, sampling locations, flow rates, safe factors, selection of limiting criteria, implementation, etc.
  - Provides place for reviewer name and initials concurring the technical information to be adequate (or inadequate).

#### **EPA Efforts to Update the 1983 Procedures Manual for Reviewing a POTW Program**

- Draft updates proposed in 2010. This from slide #8 of presentation (Chrystal Beasley)
- "Major Revisions of Chapter 3" (tech info)
- "Revised local limits evaluation procedures
  - Deleted section previous section 3.2.1 (Identification of Past POTW Operating Problems) and added Identification of Pollutants of Concern
  - Complete revision of section 3.2.3 (Review of Proposed MAHLs and Local Effluent Limits)

Environmental Protection Agency

Water

Office of Water Enforcement and Permits Washington, D.C. 20460

#### EPA Guidance Manual on the Development and Implementation of Local Discharge Limitations Under the Pretreatment Program

**Other Manuals:** These manuals are potentially of historical interest, but don't reflect EPA's latest thoughts on LL's:

#### €PA

Environmental Protection Agency

Office Of W (EN-336) H.

Supplemental Manual On The Development And Implementation Of Local Discharge Limitations Under The Pretreatment Program

Residential And Commercial Toxic Pollutant Loadings And POTW Removal Efficiency Estimation



Other Checklists:

California Water Boards 2019 Guidance:

- 372 pages: Instructions = 4pp, Checklist = 1.5pp, Example review letter (+2 examples)
- Review checklist focused on five areas:
  - Identification of POC's (incl. 15 national, etc)
  - Sufficiency of monitoring data
  - Appropriateness of removal rate calculations
  - Adequacy of MAHL's and subsequent MAIL's
  - Allocation method explained & supported

https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\_issues/programs/n pdes/docs/sops\_for\_local\_limits\_submittal\_evaluation.pdf

# **Coordinating with POTWs on their Plans for Updating Local Limits**

- WHY: LL Reviews are expensive, don't want to redo it & AA's are obliged to provide technical assistance (403.10)
- HOW:
  - Review that the plan is complete, all steps, and good timeline.
  - Sampling plan AA concurs it will allow POTW to develop 'technically based' limits
  - Selection of POC's, timing of data collection, review, and processing, ambient data to establish assimilative capacity.
  - How it handles multiple POTWs and contributing jurisdictions.
  - How/when the technical basis submitted to the AA
  - Plan for Public involvement, Notice to IU's, Updating Ordinance
  - Plan for modifying the program, and AA modification of NPDES permit for the POTW.

NOTE: POTW can do notice of program mod & AA ca process NPDES permit mod as a 'minor modification'

#### Sample Plans & Data Management

- In WA called 'Quality Assurance Project Plans' QAPP
- Pollutant Data Collection Plan Should Address:
  - How will POC's be determined (# of samples, where)
  - Where and how many samples of POC's (influent, effluent, biosolids, primary clarifier, <u>ambient</u>, industrial, domestic),
  - QA/QC plan + standards (e.g. RPD, etc.)
  - Will data be paired to obtain removal rates based on one hydraulic detention time.
  - How to address non-detects.
- Other data which will be needed: Sludge prod, flow rates from various sources, IU's, Domestic, I/I rates, how obtained.
- Spreadsheet(s) to be used to tabulate and process the data.
- What is submitted to AA, and when.

#### LIMITS for ALL the OTHER POC's Submittal Package should address which pollutants are regulated in which way(s).

- Toxic pollutants (conservative) amenable to a loading 'pie': MAHL -> MAIL -> LA's. Giving allocations to Users that don't need them can be problematic, but complexity = work.
- Compatible pollutants may be amenable to market based approaches, but require capacity management plans.
- Biodegradable pollutant limits are calculated differently.
- Limits supporting specific prohibitions: (e.g. corrosion, clogs, vapors) achieved through 'end of pipe' standards (e.g. UPC, BMPs, limits) for FOG, pH, Sulfate, Particulate, HW, vapor toxicity, explosivity at point of discharge.
  - LL Submittals must explain the methodology for deriving proposed limits for these differing types of pollutants.

# Local Limits to protect against vapor toxicity and explosivity? – You may need to consult this manual:

United States Environmental Protection Agency Office Of Water (EN-336) EPA 812-B-92-001 NTIS No. PB92-173-236 June 1992



### Guidance To Protect POTW Workers From Toxic And Reactive Gases And Vapors

## Local Limits Submittal Packages Things to Check For:

- Documents the assumptions made in developing limits
- Describes the methodology for deriving POC's and collecting the data needed for establishing local limits.
- Describes how the data was processed (printouts of spreadsheets used), & the basis for each proposed limit.
- Discusses multiple POTWs, multiple jurisdictions, seasonal differences, future plans.
- Describes how the limits will be managed, annual review of loadings, tracking and trending, charts.
- States whether the new limits are a substantial or minor program modifications and affected program elements.
- Describe public involvement, codification, and implementation steps before & after approval.

#### **Parting Information**

Ecology Spreadsheet: https://ecology.wa.gov/Asset-Collections/Doc-Assets/Water-quality/Water-Quality-Permits/Guidance/newI11blank

Example Technical Basis for Camas, WA (76pp): (W.Heinemann, 9/2019, Jacobs) http://www.ci.camas.wa.us/images/DOCS/STP/REP ORTS/technicallybasedlocallimits.pdf

To contact me: David J. Knight P.E. dakn461@ecy.wa.gov (360) 407-6277 (back in office phone) (564) 999-3589 (work cell phone)