### Testing New Tools to Reduce SNC: Evidence Based Path Forward Proposal

David A Hindin
Director, Office of Compliance
OECA, U.S. EPA

EPA-State SNC National Compliance Initiative Symposium 2, EPA Region 6, Dallas TX Wrap up on January 24, 2020

#### Steps

1. Define a SNC problem that your state or region wants to remedy.

2.Identify a new tool that might be effective.

- 3. Let OECA know of your preliminary interest by February 17<sup>th</sup>.
- 4. OECA will review to see if any "commonalities". If yes: facilitate a preliminary scoping discussion with interested states and regions.
- 5. If scooping positive, OECA will try to facilitate design and implementation with academic researcher and/or OECA contract support.

#### 1. SNC Problem Definition

- State or region defines a problem.
- Also include any ideas you may have on the causes of the problem and readily available data on the problem.
- Problems we have heard:
  - Small POTWs in SNC
  - Facilities with existing violation patterns that suggest they might become SNC in next few months.
  - Facilities that failed to submit their DMRs.
  - Other?

#### 2. New Tool Identification

- Is there a new tool that might be helpful in addressing the problem?
- "New" might be:
  - Tool that another state/region is using
  - Tool you use, but could be modified to improve.
  - Tool in another program that might be relevant.
- Examples of tools that were discussed this week:
  - 1. Peer mentoring by *compliance stars* with SNC facilities.
  - 2. Electronic peer comparisons of discharge performance.
  - 3. Electronic notices for late DMRs, or self-reported violations.
  - 4. Expedited settlement agreements.
  - 5. Connecting small POTWs to onsite technical assistance
  - 6. Informing small POTWs about possible financial or technical assistance.
  - 7. Inspectors providing compliance assistance notices
  - 8. Data analytic or machine learning ways to identify SNC early.

## 3. Submit your problem/tool idea to OECA

- This is <u>preliminary expression</u> of interest by region or state.
  - Your only commitment is to participate in a follow-up conference call to scope out.
  - You have not bound your region or state to implementation.
- Submit using template, no more than two pages, by Feb 17.

# 4. OECA Will Compile to see if any commonalities (at least 3 entities)

- To test if a new tool is effective, best to pilot with at least 3 states or regions.
- If we have common interest, then OECA will set up conference call to scope.
- OECA prior to conference call may:
  - Outline the theory of change (logic model) for why the new tool would remedy the specific problem or not.
  - Partial review as to behavioral science theory and empirical evidence for use of the tool.
  - Identify baseline data on the problem that already exists or could easily be collected.

# 5. If positive scoping call, proceed to design

- Pull in researchers to design implementation so that we can learn if effective:
  - Phase in new tool randomly over a year or two.
  - Gold standard randomized control test.
  - Time series (before/after) with pseudo control group.
  - Other impact evaluation methods may be appropriate.
- Explore if OECA contract resources and/or university resources are available to support finishing design and then implementation and evidence evaluation.
- As design becomes clear, check back to confirm regional state commitment to implement with evaluation built in.

### Could we try this?

- Evidence based government approach has great potential to improve our programs.
- We could learn and expand our compliance assurance tool box.
- If we are creative, not all new tools tried will prove to be practical or effective.
  - That's okay!
- States could talk among themselves and submit common proposal by February 17 or submit individually.
- Comments?