

Nutrient TMDLs and NPDES Permits

Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality

Adrien Carroll-Perkins, P.E. Bradley Crain, P. E.

Nutrient TMDLs in Mississippi

History of Nutrient TMDLs in Mississippi Mississippi was a "Consent Decree State"

• Required to complete TMDLs for all 303(d) listed waterbodies on the 1998 303(d) List in 10 years

How many nutrient TMDLs?

- 261 individual pollutant waterbody combinations
- Over 100 TMDL reports most TMDL reports were for both Total Nitrogen (TN) and Total Phosphorous

Historical Nutrient TMDL Methodology

- Mass Balance TMDLs
 - Did not account for fate, transport, uptake, etc.
 - Steady state
 - Flow x Concentration = Load
- Preliminary instream targets for TN and TP
 - No numeric nutrient criteria
 - Targets based on statistical analysis of nutrient levels in biologically healthy streams
- Nonpoint Source Loading estimated based on landuse type

NPDES Permits in Nutrient TMDLs

- Historically very little information of nutrient levels in effluent
 - Assume nutrient concentrations based on treatment type for domestic ww
 - EPA Technical Guidance Manual for Developing TMDLs Book 2: Streams and Rivers Part 1 Biochemical Oxygen Demand / Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrients / Eutrophication, Pg. A-13, EPA 823-B-97-002, March 1997
- Lagoon
 - TP: 5.2 mg/l
 - TN: 11.5 mg/l
- Activated Sludge
 - TP: 5.8 mg/l
 - TN: 13.6 mg/l

Establishing the WLA in Nutrient TMDLs

- Municipal Facilities
 - Design Flow
 - Concentration based on treatment type
 - Load expressed in pounds per day
- All other industrial facilities believed to discharge nutrients
 - Average reported flow
 - Concentration based on treatment type
 - Load expressed in pounds per day
- Reductions necessary? Depends on WLA % of TMDL

Moving Forward with Nutrient TMDLs Push from regulated community to determine permit limitations prior to capital expenditures

In depth monitoring / modeling approach

Models are more complex – simulating algal growth, different weather patterns, photosynthesis, respiration, etc.

Using modeling to help set Site Specific Nutrient Criteria in conjunction with the TMDL

Better information on nutrient levels in effluent

Currently working on 2 large river systems in Mississippi

Pearl River Nutrient TMDL

- First Nutrient TMDL in Mississippi using a more complex hydrodynamic and water quality model EFDC and WASP
- Set chlorophyll-A level as the endpoint of the TMDL and determined TN and TP loading
- 2015 EPA approval required further instream monitoring
- Still pending Site Specific Nutrient Criteria

Implementing Nutrient Limitations into Permits

Nutrient Permit Implementation

When implemented into permits?

- TMDLs (Total Maximum Daily Loads)
- ELGs (Effluent Limit Guidelines)

How many permits?

- 93 permits with limitations, 193 permits with monitoring
- 64 Major Municipals
 - 63 Monitor Effluent
 - 19 Contain Limitations
 - Currently implementing influent monitoring

What parameters are we monitoring/limiting?

- Total Nitrogen (TN)
- Total Phosphorus (TP)

Typical Permit Implementation

- TMDL establishes nutrient limit in Pounds per Day (#/day)
- Nutrient limitation is phased into permit
- First phase is report only, Second phase contains the limitation
- Schedule of compliance included in permit

Typical Permit Implementation

#/day TN limit is applied to permit as a 30 day average

1.5* (30 day average) = #/day daily max or weekly average

Concentrations remain report only unless concentration required by ELG

Typical Permit Implementation

Nitrogen (Total)	Report	Report	pounds per day		Report	Report	mg/L	Monthly	24-hr Composite	Jan-Dec
Effluent	Monthly	Maximum			Monthly	Maximum				
[Phase I]	Average	Weekly		*****	Average	Weekly				
		Average				Average				

 Nitrogen (Total) Effluent	192 Monthly	288 Maximum	pounds per day		Report Monthly	Report Maximum	mg/L	Monthly	24-hr Composite	Jan-Dec
[Phase II]	Average	Weekly		*****	~	Weekly				
		Average				Average				

The permittee shall achieve compliance with the effluent limitations specified for discharge in accordance with the following schedule:

Upon issuance of this permit, the permittee shall achieve compliance with the effluent limitations specified for the parameters noted as Phase I; Phase II limitations shall not apply at this time. Beginning upon completion and start-up of the improvements needed to comply with Phase II limitations, but no later than the anticipated date of completion presented in the implementation scheduled required in Permit Condition No. S-1, the permittee shall achieve compliance with the effluent limitations specified for the parameters noted as Phase II; Phase I limitations shall not apply at this time. Phase II limitations will become enforceable conditions of the permit no later than the expiration date of the permit. The permittee shall notify our office orally at least 24 hours prior to start-up and in writing no later than 48 hours after start-up of improvements. [WPC-1 Chapter One Section IV.A(9)]

- Case 1:
 - Two permitted facilities require nutrient limits due to TMDL
 - Both facilities discharge into the same watershed cell of the TMDL
 - Both facilities are owned by the same entity
 - One facility newer, and more adapt to treat for nutrients

- Result:
 - Combined the two permits into one permit.
 - Made each treatment system a separate outfall in the one permit

Phosphorus (Total) Effluent	Report Monthly Total	pounds per month **	**** Report Monthly Average	****	mg/L	Daily	24-hr Composite	Jan-Dec
--------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------------	------	------	-------	-----------------	---------

Phosphorus (Total) Effluent	Report Monthly Total	pounds per month ******	Report Monthly Average	mg/L	5 Times per Week	24-hr Composite	Jan-Dec
--------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------	------	------------------	-----------------	---------

Effluent	1431 Maximum Monthly Average	****	pounds per day	****	****	****	****	Monthly	Calculations	Nov-Apr
----------	---------------------------------------	------	----------------	------	------	------	------	---------	--------------	---------

- Case 2:
 - Three permitted facilities require nutrient limits due to a TMDL
 - All three facilities are owned by the same entity
 - TMDL allows the loads to be distributed as needed among the three facilities

• Result:

- Continued with three separate permits
- Required nutrient monitoring in each permit
- Established combined nutrient limitation in one permit

• Permit 1

Phosphorus (Total) Report Report pounds per day Effluent Maximum Weekly Monthly Maximum Average Report Report Report Maximum Weekly

• Permit 2

Phosphorus (Total) Effluent	Report Monthly Average	Report Maximum Weekly	pounds per day	*****	Report Monthly Average	Report Maximum Weekly	mg/L	3 Times per Week	24-hr Composite	Jan-Dec
	-	Average			-	Average				

• Permit 3

Phosphorus (Total) Effluent	****	****	****	****	Report Maximum Monthly Average	Report Maximum Weekly Average	mg/L	Daily	24-hr Composite	Jan-Dec
Phosphorus (Total) Effluent (Net Value)	1297 Maximum Monthly Average	1945.5 Maximum Weekly Average	pounds per day	****	****	****	****	Monthly	Calculations	Jan-Dec

Questions?