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Overview

- What is an MS4 Oversight Inspection?
- Why do we do it?
- How do we make it count? (Prep-work)
- What are the goals?
- How do we make it count? (Intent)
- Value/Benefit Achieved
What’s Not Included

- Detailed step-by-step guidance on conducting an MS4 audit.
- Individual program elements
- SWMP and Permit requirements

Visit EPA’s website for additional MS4 resources:
http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/munic.cfm
What is an Oversight Inspection?

Also known as an Audit, the purpose of an MS4 Oversight Inspection is to conduct a comprehensive MS4 program evaluation and determine if the permittee is implementing the program in order to reduce pollutants discharged to the Maximum Extent Practicable (MEP).

They are done in partnership with the States.
What is an Oversight Inspection?

It is ultimately based on the requirements in the MS4 permit and commitments made in the MS4’s stormwater management program (SWMP).

These are your primary references for a specific MS4 program evaluation.
Why do we do it?

• Determination of compliance, or recognized need for compliance assistance.
• Build a stronger working relationship between EPA, the State and the permittee.
• Ensure better understanding by the permittee of the permit requirements.
• Ensure better understanding of the permittee’s operations, priorities, & challenges.

How does it benefit us?
So How Do We Make it Count?....Prep-work and Intent

- Consult with your State
- Know the MS4
- Identify your goal
- Familiarize yourself with their SWMP and Permit
- Use your audit checklists

Prep-work
What is the Goal of your Audit?

- Determination of compliance status?
- Assistance with permit issuance or renewal?
- Phase II SWMP development?
- Assessing pollutants of concern?
- Technical assistance?

**Identifying your overall goals will help in developing your schedule and focus.**
Determining Compliance Status

• Is the MS4 adhering to it’s permit requirements?
• Is a comprehensive SWMP developed and fully implemented?
• Are staff trained and knowledgeable?
Assessing Pollutants Of Concern

If there are known pollutants common in urban stormwater, or a particular water body is impaired, an oversight inspection would be beneficial in determining the effectiveness of the MS4’s SWMP implementation.

If an MS4 is discharging to a waterbody that has a total maximum daily load (TMDL), a program evaluation may assist the permitting authority in assigning an applicable waste load allocation, while also assisting the permittee in implementing the steps necessary to comply with the waste load allocation.
Phase II SWMP Development

- Many Phase II MS4s are just beginning to implement their SWMP; compliance assistance is a valuable and much needed tool.
- Region 6 conducted 8 small MS4 Pre-Assessments in FY17 with compliance assistance as the goal.
The Pre-Assessment was designed to look at the management, operations, and maintenance portions of the sMS4 SWMP via:

• Issued 308 Information Request letter for Modules developed by our team.
• Interviewed the sMS4 for an overview of their SWMP;
• Observed the inspection techniques of sMS4 Inspectors to check proper application in detecting and addressing areas of concern at a site; and,
• WE Inspected sMS4’s owned, operated and regulated facilities for permit compliance

The purpose of the Pre-Assessment and Inspection was to evaluate the MS4’s compliance with its Phase II Stormwater permit and prioritize their Stormwater Program for a possible comprehensive Audit by EPA at a later date.
The intent is to ensure that the MS4 inspector knows their job, rather than doing it for them.

- Use your audit checklists
- Observe, Observe, Observe

**Intent**
**Construction Inspection Checklist**

**Construction Field Inspection Worksheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permittee:</th>
<th>Address of project:</th>
<th>Size of project:</th>
<th>NOI?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of visit:</td>
<td>Time of visit:</td>
<td>Name of permittee's inspector(s):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Provide the name(s) and title(s) of site superintendent or contractor(s) present during inspection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Evaluator Observations:**

**Inspector Training/Knowledge**

- Is the inspector knowledgeable about:
  - Erosion and sediment control BMPs,
  - Stormwater/pollution prevention BMPs,
  - Local stormwater requirements, and
  - Legal authority (ordinances)?

- Is the inspector familiar with the requirements in the State stormwater construction general permit?
- What type of stormwater training did the inspector receive? When, and how often?

**Inspection Procedures**

- Is a checklist used during the inspection?
- Is the inspector aware of previous stormwater inspection results at this site?
- Does the inspector review the approved plans (erosion and sediment control and/or SWPPP) required to be at the construction site?
- Does the inspector walk the entire site and inspect all points of discharge?
- Does the inspection address:
  - Erosion control
  - Sediment control
  - Waste management practices
  - Non-stormwater discharges?
- Did the inspector miss obvious violations?
- Are inspection findings documented in writing and presented to the site contact?

**Compliance Enforcement**

- How does the inspector address compliance issues (verbal warnings, NOV, stop work order, etc.)?
- If there are compliance issues identified, is a deadline given for corrections?

**Education**

- Are any materials or brochures given to the site contact to educate them about appropriate BMPs?

---

**MS4 Program Evaluation Guidance**

### MS4 Maintenance Facility Field Inspection Worksheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permittee:</td>
<td>Address of facility:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of visit:</td>
<td>Time of visit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide the name(s) and title(s) of permittee staff present during inspection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWPPP or stormwater plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle maintenance, fueling and washing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes or additional information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluator Observations:**

- **SWPPP or stormwater plan**: 
  - Has the maintenance facility developed a SWPPP or stormwater plan?
  - Does the plan include a site map, list of pollutant sources, BMPs, and maintenance procedures?
  - Does the permittee conduct and document periodic inspections of the facility?
  - Are storm drains labeled and free of debris?
  - Are fueling stations properly designed with spill kits nearby?
  - Are vehicles washed on-site? Is wash water discharged to the MS4 or sanitary sewer?
  - Are all materials that are potential stormwater contaminants stored under cover or in secondary containment?
  - Are all hazardous materials properly labeled and stored to prevent exposure to stormwater runoff?
  - Does the facility have a spill response plan, and are spill kits readily available?
  - What type of stormwater training do maintenance staff receive?

[MS4 Program Evaluation Guidance](https://www3.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/ms4guide_withappendixa.pdf)
## Industrial/Commercial Facility Field Inspection Worksheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permitee:</th>
<th>Address and Name of facility:</th>
<th>Size of project:</th>
<th>NOI?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of visit:</td>
<td>Time of visit:</td>
<td>Name of permittee’s inspector(s):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of permittee’s inspector(s):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Provide the name(s) and title(s) of facility representatives present during inspection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Evaluator Observations:

### Inspector Training/Knowledge
- Is the inspector knowledgeable about:
  - Source Control BMPs,
  - Treatment Control BMPs,
  - Local stormwater requirements, and
  - Local authority (ordinances)?
- Is the inspector familiar with the requirements in the State stormwater industrial general permit?
- What type of stormwater training did the inspector receive? When, and how often?

### Inspection Procedures
- Is a checklist used during the inspection?
- Is the inspector aware of previous stormwater inspection results at this site?
- Does the inspector review the BMPs in the industrial SWPPP (if available)?
- Does the inspector walk the entire facility and inspect all points of discharge?
- Does the inspection address:
  - Good housekeeping practices
  - Spill prevention and response
  - Materials handling and storage
  - Waste management practices
  - Non-stormwater discharges?
- Did the inspector miss obvious violations?
- Are inspection findings documented in writing and presented to the facility representative?

### Compliance Enforcement
- How does the inspector address compliance issues (verbal warnings, NOV, stop work orders, etc.)?
- If there are compliance issues identified, is a deadline given for correction?

### Education
- Are any materials or brochures given to the facility representative to educate them about appropriate BMPs?

---

**MS4 Program Evaluation Guidance**

Value Summary

Increased Value

Prep → Know the MS4, determine your goal(s)

Intent → Observe, observe, observe

Benefit Achieved → (slide #6)
What did you like about the Pre-Assessment?

*We enjoyed the opportunity to share our MS4 program with the EPA. The pre-assessment also gave us a chance to express the importance of this program to other departments within the City.

*Having open discussions about program implementation in the beginning makes it much easier to understand what types of documents may help to evaluate program effectiveness and success.

*The Inspectors took the approach that they were there to “help us” and not “catch us” doing something wrong. They offered some very good suggestions, which were appreciated. I also liked how reasonable the Inspectors were.

*It forced us to stop and take a look at our current program.
What would you change about the Pre-Assessment?

*I wish I had a suggestion for improvement but I don’t. It was very informative and I feel I have a better understanding of the objective of the program after the pre-assessment.

*We had 12 visitors. There may be a way to do it with less people. I would have liked to receive the written report a little sooner.
Did the Inspector/s clearly communicate areas for attention (if applicable) at the exit interview?

*Yes. The final report had clear achievable recommendations which we have begun to implement.

*Yes, we had a few areas that we could improve in. The suggestions included improvements in our Data Management and suggestions in creating more measurable goals. I also liked that some positive things were pointed out as well. It was nice to hear some of the things that we are doing well on and some things we can improve on. I thought it was a perfect evaluation.
Questions?

Carol Johnson
US EPA (Region 6)
1445 Ross Ave, Suite 1200 (6EN-WS)
Dallas, TX 75202
johnson.carol@epa.gov
(214) 665-8471

Region 6 Stormwater Team
Thea Lomax (LA & OK Stormwater Coordinator)......(214) 665- 8098
Linda Smith (AR & NM Stormwater Coordinator)......(214) 665- 6641
Stephanie Meyers...............................................................(214) 665- 6496